



Odvoz a likvidácia odpadu a. s.

Ivanská cesta 22  
821 04 Bratislava 2

ČSOB, a. s. IBAN SK37 7500 0000 0000 2533 2773

Zapísaná v Obchodnom registri

Mestského súdu Bratislava III, odd. Sa, vl. č. 482/B

IČO: 00681300

DIČ: 2020318256

IČ DPH: SK2020318256

# **Annex 3 to the Notice of initiation of the PMC SCOPE OF QUESTIONS FOR THE PRELIMINARY MARKET CONSULTATION**

(Unofficial translation)

SCOPE OF QUESTIONS FOR THE PRELIMINARY MARKET CONSULTATION

1. Do you consider that the description of the subject matter of the contract (*Annex 4*) is sufficiently clear and complete to enable you to assess the scope of the required Modernization and Ecologizing (MaE) of the OLO ERF?

If not, please provide reasons and specific suggestions for completing the description of the subject matter of the contract or identify the reasons why the scope of the requested Modernization and Ecologizing (MaE) of the OLO ERF cannot be assessed on the basis of the provided documents.

2. The contracting authority requires the tenderers to be guaranteed by the contractor of the work on the selected parameters (*Annex 6*). Do you consider these parameters to be realistic? Is it possible for you to guarantee these selected parameters?

If not, please provide a justification for your answer, together with a suggestion, if appropriate, as to how these parameters can be guaranteed.

3. Have you identified in the submitted documents any requirements of the contracting authority, e.g. regarding the scope of deliveries, requirements for the technical execution of parts of the work, etc., which could jeopardise or completely exclude the possibility of the implementation of the MaE work and the fulfilment of the guaranteed parameters of the work on your part?

If yes, please propose a modification of the identified scope and technical performance requirements or a modification of the required guaranteed parameters with an explanation.

4. Have you identified requirements in the submitted documents that significantly increase the labour (and cost) of the solution without, in your opinion, providing reasonable value or justification to the contracting authority?

If yes, please specify.

5. Have you identified any part or information in the documents that would prevent or make it unreasonably difficult for you to participate in the procurement?

If so, please indicate which part it is and how you propose to modify it.

6. Is there any part of the contract that you would not be able to carry out (even using subcontractors) or for which you would not participate in the tender?

If yes, state the reasons why you would prefer the contracting authority to divide the contract into lots and specify the individual lots.

7. Which subcontractors do you foresee?

8. How many contracts on a similar or similar subject has your company carried out in the last 10 years? What was their approximate contract price?

9. Does the draft project schedule (*Annex 5*) take into account the technologically correct/appropriate procedure for the implementation of the works - if the primary objectives are the correct implementation of the works in the shortest possible time and the limitation of the complete disruption of the operation of the ERF to a minimum?

If not, please propose your schedule for the implementation of the project (MaE work), broken down into the different stages/phases of the project.

10. Is this timetable realistic in terms of the time in which the work can be carried out?

If, based on your experience, it is possible to implement the project in a shorter period of time (or a longer period of time is required), please specify this in relation to the individual planned activities

SCOPE OF QUESTIONS FOR THE PRELIMINARY MARKET CONSULTATION

(or at least the expected project phases) in your proposal for the project implementation schedule (MaE work).

11. Do you consider the proposed setting of basic commercial parameters (e.g. warranty period, price payment mechanism for the work, liability for damages (*Annex 7*)) to be in line with market practice? If not, please state why.
12. In case the contracting authority would consider applying another additional criterion in addition to the lowest price criterion, what other criterion would you consider appropriate/reasonable?
13. The contracting authority intends to proceed with the selection of the contractor by negotiated procedure with publication. Is it acceptable to you to further specify the technical and contractual requirements during the negotiation procedure?  
If you have any objections to this procedure, please state the reasons.
14. The contracting authority intends to eliminate speculative bids. Therefore, it is considering requiring a bid security within the meaning of Section 46 of the Public Procurement Act. What amount of security do you consider acceptable?
15. What are the most common obstacles/difficulties you have encountered so far in the implementation of the identical/comparable subject of the planned contract and how have you dealt with them?