

Terms of Competition

—

Landscape-Urban Competition "Revitalization of the Mill Race in Košice"

for commissioning a contract pursuant to Section 117 of Act No. 343/2015 of the Collection of Acts on Public Procurement and on Amendments to Certain Acts (hereinafter referred to as "PPA") and pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Competition Rules of the Slovak Chamber of Architects

On behalf of the inviting
party - the Municipality of Košice

.....
Ing. Jaroslav Polaček
City Mayor

On behalf of the inviting
party - the Municipality of Košice

.....
Ing. arch. Martin Jerguš
Chief Architect Deputy
Department of the Chief Architect of the City of Košice

On behalf of competition
documents administrator

.....
Ing. arch. Martin Richnavský
Urbanism and Architecture Officer
Department of the Chief Architect of the City of Košice

.....
Ing. Eugen Ščavnický
Chairman of Mlynský náhon Civic Association

Content

Participants identification	4
Inviting Party	4
Participating Organization	4
Competition Subject and Objective	5
Title	5
Competition objective	5
Common procurement vocabulary codes (CPV)	5
Estimated value of the contract	6
Approval and verification of tender conditions	7
Participants' scope	7
Communication	8
List of competition aids	9
Jury, jury experts, voting system, jury subsidiary bodies	10
Regular jury members:	10
Substitutes:	10
Jury experts:	10
Jury auxiliary bodies:	10
Dates	12
First stage	13
Format	13
Participant identification and team composition	13
References	13
Documents submission	14
Evaluation	14
Second stage	15
First workshop - Assignment presentation	15
Second workshop - Consultation of draft proposals	16
Third workshop - Final proposal submission and presentation	17
Final proposal layout	17
Final proposal submission	18
Final proposal presentation	19
Evaluation	19

Proposals' remuneration	21
Third stage - concluding a contract	21
Follow-up contract	22
Subject of a follow-up contract	22
Estimated investment costs	23
Additional information	23

Annex

- 01. Competition assignment
 - 01.a. History of the Mill Race
 - 01.b. Depletion of Surface Watercourses in the City of Košice
 - 01.c. Water management specifications of the Mill Race
 - 01.d. The Mill Race biology
 - 01.e. Information from involved parties
 - 01.f. Technical documents
- 02. Other competition aids - as in chapter V.
- 03. Participant identification (template)
- 04. Statutory declaration (template)
- 05. Portfolio (template)
- 06. Layout of final proposals panels (template)

I. Participants identification

1. Inviting Party

Name: **Municipality of Košice**

Legal form: Municipality according to § 1 of Act No. 369/1990 of the
Collection of Municipalities establishment as amended

Place of business: Trieda SNP 48/A, 040 11, Košice

Country: Slovak Republic

Company No.: 006 911 35

Tax No.: 2021186904

VAT ID: SK 2021186904

(hereinafter referred to as **"inviting party"**)

2. Participating Organization

Name: **Mlynský náhon, civic association**

Legal form: Civic association

Place of business: Gaštanová 9, 040 01, Košice

Country: Slovak Republic

Tax No.: 522 544 96

(hereinafter referred to as **"OZ Mlynský náhon"**)

Legal relations between the inviting party and OZ Mlynský náhon are regulated by a special contract which had been concluded for this purpose.

The inviting party is represented in relation to the participant as well as in relation to public administration entities by the public procurement administrator (hereinafter referred to as the **"PP administrator"**).

Technical and professional support also in relation to third parties associated in particular, but not exclusively, with the implementation of the contract, explanation of the procurement conditions, organizational support of the jury, nomination of the jury and participation in the commission charged to conclude the Contract for Work shall be provided by the City of Košice - Department of the Chief Architect and OZ Mlynský náhon. City of Košice - The Department of the Chief Architect - shall provide the members of commission authorized to negotiate for the purpose of concluding the Contract for Work.

II. Competition Subject and Objective

1. Title

Landscape - Urban Competition "Revitalization of the Mill Race in Košice"

2. Competition objective

The objective of competition is to find a proposal which, among submitted proposals, best addresses assignment requirements and subsequently allows to enter the contract as stated in Chapter XII.

3. Common procurement vocabulary codes (CPV)

Main vocabulary:

Main subject :

7120000-0 Architectural and related services

Additional subjects:

71220000-6 Design and architectural services

71222000-0 Architectural services for outdoor areas

71240000-2 Architectural, engineering and planning services

71250000-5 Architectural and engineering services, supervision

71400000-2 Urban development services (spatial planning)
and architectural services

4. Estimated value of the contract

All prices are exclusive of VAT.

Total estimated value of the contract in accordance with PPA (Public Procurement Act) is **€ 69,900**, and consists of the following items:

A. Estimated remuneration for participants in the second stage (competition workshop)

Item	Value
Participant remuneration after meeting the assignment criteria	€ 4,000
Number of participants	3 až 5
Total maximum remuneration in the second stage	€ 20,000

B. Estimated remuneration for individual submissions, licence fees and author's supervision for participants in the third stage

Item	Value
A validation study taking into account wider relationships and public spaces	€ 10,500
A detailed manual of public space and a landscape study with solution of selected small architecture elements, subsequent stages of project documentation and author's supervision as in paragraph XII.1.2.	€ 34,000
License for the part of the design in the study containing modifications of water structures, transport structures and relocations of networks (more detailed description in Competition Assignment)	€ 2,900

Author's supervision during the processing of project documentation in accordance with paragraph XII.1.4.	€ 3,000
Total remuneration in the third stage	€ 49,900

5. Approval and verification of tender conditions

This public procurement is the contract in accordance with provisions of the Public Procurement Act, and in accordance with applicable provisions of the Competition Rules of the Slovak Chamber of Architects.

Type of competition according to Competition Rules of the Slovak Chamber of Architects:

- according to subject of competition: **landscape-urban one**
- according to purpose of competition: **project one**
- according to number of stages: **two-stage one**

The terms of competition were approved by the jury on July 27, 2020 and verified by the Slovak Chamber of Architects under verification No. **KA-451/2020** on July 29, 2020.

III. Participants' scope

The scope of participants is determined by the following regulations:

1. The competition is announced as public for an unspecified number of participants.
2. A participant must be an entity authorized to perform a business representing an activity referred to in §4 or §4a of Act No. 138/1992 Collections on Authorized Architects, Authorized Landscape Architects and Authorized Civil Engineers, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "**ZAA**") or under equivalent legislation in force in the country of business or registered office of the participant. The country of business or registered office of the participant means a member state of the European Union, the European Economic Area and Switzerland. The participant is obliged to prove the fulfillment of such a condition by a statutory declaration, the draft of which is Annex No. 04 to these Terms of Competition.
3. The participant must declare the composition of their team in creation of proposal and entering subsequent contract, while the team must be represented by at least one

landscape architect and at least one authorized architect with experience in urban planning and public spaces.

4. A participant in the competition may not be one who:
 - a. developed criteria for the selection of participants, developed criteria for the evaluation of submitted proposals;
 - b. is a member of the jury, a substitute member of the jury, the PP administrator, the verifier of the competition proposals or an expert invited by the jury;
 - c. verified the terms of competition from the side of Slovak Chamber of Architects;
 - d. is an employee of the inviting party;
 - e. is a close person, partner, statutory body, employee or employer of persons excluded from participating in the competition under points (a) to (d).
5. Pursuant to § 117 paragraph 5 of the Public Procurement Act, a tenderer cannot be the one who does not meet the conditions for participation pursuant to § 32 paragraph 1 letters e) and f) of the Public Procurement Act or if there is a reason for exclusion pursuant to § 40 paragraph 6 letter f) of the Public Procurement Act (conflict of interests pursuant to Section 23 cannot be eliminated by other effective measures).

IV. Communication

Communication between the inviting party and the participants is carried out **in Slovak or Czech electronically via JOSEPHINE public procurement electronic tool.**

An exception to the above is individual workshops according to point IX.:

1st workshop - inspection of the solved area, seminar, lectures and discussion

2nd workshop - presentation of the ongoing solution of individual participants with professional guidance

3rd workshop - presentation of final solution and evaluation meeting of the jury

At above workshops, communication between the contestants and the jury is in person and **also possible in English.** Interpreting shall be provided by the inviting party.

V. List of competition aids

No.	Aid
1.	Cadastral map
2.	Orthophotomap
3.	Measurement of the actual state of the Mill Race riverbed
4.	Geodetic documentation - facts, topography and elevation, longitudinal and transverse profiles, calculation of cubature
5.	Document with approximate drawing of engineering networks, trees and bushes, indicating the crown diameter
6.	Project for building permission "Revitalization of the Mill Race 2017" - author Ing. Jozef Schlosser
7.	Simple 3D model (Sketchup)
8.	Spatial plan of the Economic Settlement Agglomeration of Košice and the concept of a new Spatial Plan
9.	Strategy for the development of transport and transport constructions of the city of Košice - cartograms of the load of individual car traffic
10.	Local territorial system of ecological stability
11.	Drone photos and videos
12.	Contour lines
13.	Project Revitalization of the Mill Race in Košice, SO 02 Eco stabilization of the watercourse - Weir at Čárskeho Street
14.	Urban-architectural study of the Mill Race revitalization from 1995, based on which the latest adjustments were made - author Ing. arch. Mokriš (KOPA Personalistic Architecture Team)
15.	Urban Greenery study
16.	Combined dwg document (boundary of the solved area, cadastral map, riverbed orientation, drawing of networks and trees, orthophoto map, contour lines)

VI. Jury, jury experts, voting system, jury subsidiary bodies

1. Regular jury members:

a. Independent members

Ing. Jana Pyšková, authorized landscape architect of ČKA, jury chairwoman

Ing. Anna Dobrucká, authorized landscape architect of SKA

Dipl. Ing. Peter Gero, urbanist, architect

b. Dependent members

Ing. arch. Jana Koreňová, authorized architect of SKA, the City of Košice representative

Ing. Robert Gregorek, PhD., biologist, OZ Mlynský náhon representative

2. Substitutes:

a. Independent

RNDr. Andrej Mock, PhD., biologist

Mgr. art. Tomáš Labanc, authorized architect of SKA, urbanist

b. Dependent

Ing. arch. Martin Jerguš, architect, urbanist, the City of Košice representative

3. Jury experts:

Ing. Otakár Hrabovský, water engineer

Ing. Marek Bobík, watercourse management representative

Ing. Sebastián Baran, traffic expert

4. Jury auxiliary bodies:

a. Public procurement administrator

Ing. arch. Martin Richnavský

b. Public procurement administrator substitute

Ing. arch. Jana Novacká

The meetings of the jury shall be attended by jury regular members and substitutes with a minimum of five participants. If a regular member of the jury is not present, a substitute of the relevant expertise shall vote for him. The other substitutes shall have an advisory vote. The jury may only vote if the number of participants with a regular vote is five.

An independent juror may only be replaced by an independent substitute.

The jury may also invite other experts to a specific peer review of one or more areas of proposal evaluation. The jury expert participates with an advisory vote. If necessary, the expert of the jury shall prepare an expert assessment for each submitted proposal in the competition prior to jury evaluation meeting.

Subsidiary bodies shall ensure communication between judges, experts and competitors in the manner defined in Chapter IV.

VII. Dates

The competition is conducted in three consecutive stages. The first and second stages are the stages of competitive submission and evaluation of proposals while the third stage is the stage of concluding the contract.

Stage step	Date
First stage of competitive evaluation	
Competition announcement	30 July 2020
First stage documents' submission	26 August 2020, 3:00 pm
Evaluation meeting of the jury	02 September 2020
Notification of applicants on the result of the first stage	04 September 2020
Second stage of competitive evaluation	
First workshop Assignment presentation, lectures, inspection of the solved area, discussion	08 September 2020
Second workshop Sending developed solutions by individual participants in the competition	15 August 3:00 pm
Presentation of developed solutions, discussion	21 October 2020
Sending comments of jury to participants' developed solutions	27 October 2020
Third workshop Sending final solutions by the participants	01 December 2020 3:00 pm
Presentation of final solutions, discussion	08 December 2020
Evaluation meeting of the jury	08 December 2020
Notification of applicants on the result of the second stage	10 December 2020
Due date of overheads payment	18 December 2020
Public presentation of final solutions	15 December 2020
Third stage -concluding a contract	
Invitation to negotiate with the winner of the competition	07 January 2021

The inviting party reserves the right to change the dates of individual steps of the competition or the form of workshops in case of unforeseen circumstances, for example, if measures were taken by state authorities that would prevent physical presence of participants or members of the jury at individual meetings.

VIII. First stage

In the first stage, applicants present the composition of the solving team and a portfolio of reference projects indicating the ability and experience of the applicant to process the architectural design in required high quality.

1. Format

Submitted documents content and format:

1.1. Participant identification and team composition

The applicant shall submit identification data and composition of the team that will participate in the performance of the follow-up contract to the extent specified in Annex No. 03, so that the participant meets the conditions defined in Chapter III. Individual authors of the competition proposal shall state, in Annex No. 03, references declaring their professional focus.

1.2. References

The applicant shall submit five of their reference projects, briefly described, each project in a single A3 format. The authors of reference projects must include at least one of the team members as declared in 1.1.

Reference projects are intended to illustrate the applicant's ability to process such a type of contract. It is preferred to submit implemented projects of comparable assignment and scope. These are mainly public space projects, landscaping, a watercourse project, a project that creates conditions for the development of biodiversity, a project that mitigates the impacts of climate change, a project for a playground, a park and the like.

The format shall include a text description with: title and place, author, basic statements, date of project implementation, the scope of design phases performed by the applicant, the amount of investment costs. Portfolio template is in Annex No.5.

An applicant who does not have enough implemented projects of a similar type may submit projects of a different type, or projects that have not been implemented, or otherwise demonstrate expertise in the field of landscaping. The purpose of the exception is to allow participation of professionally young landscape architects as well. The participants' right to form a group of suppliers in order to participate in the procurement procedure in question is not affected.

1.3. Documents submission

The participant shall submit the documents in electronic form in the manner defined in Chapter IV. Documents received after the deadline for submission shall not be considered in the evaluation.

1.4. Evaluation

The first stage shall result in a list of applicants in the order based on the jury assessment of the documents submitted by the participants as stated in 1.2. of this chapter, according to the following criteria:

Criterion
Urbanism Public spaces, relations, connections, mobility, problem junctions, population needs, cultural and social aspects
Architecture Objects, elements
Landscape architecture and blue-green infrastructure Design of technical elements, biota, water elements and greenery functions
Environmental aspect Adaptation to climate change, ecological stability, environment hygiene

The order of portfolios shall be determined by the jury voting on the basis of the above evaluation criteria. The jury can also determine which portfolios do not meet the conditions to participate in the second stage of the competition.

Participants whose portfolios ranked in the first five places shall advance to the second stage.

In the event that some of the first five participants do not accept the offer to participate in the second stage and withdraw from competition, the following participants shall be included in the second stage according to the order.

IX. Second stage

The inviting party shall invite candidates who scored first to fifth place in the order to participate in the second stage.

The second stage is in three workshops during which participants develop, submit and present their proposals.

1. First workshop - Assignment presentation

Agenda:

1. Welcoming participants
2. Brief overview of terms of competition
3. History, significance, present condition and renewal vision of the Mill Race
4. Urbanism and wider relations with reference to problems of individual localities
5. Ensuring conditions for biodiversity, its sustaining and developing in water as well as on the banks
6. Discussion
7. Inspection of the solved area with commentary to individual places
8. Discussion
9. Closing of meeting

2. Second workshop - Consultation of draft proposals

Each participant shall submit a draft proposal in accordance with the Content Requirements for the draft proposal as defined in Annex No. 01 (Tender Remuneration). Compliance with the Recommended Instructions set out in Annex 01 to competitors is not mandatory. If there is a significant deviation in the participant's proposal from the instructions given in Annex No. 01, the inviting party recommends that the participant submits graphic or textual argumentation justifying such a procedure.

The consultation shall be individual, without the presence of other participants.

Each participant shall present their concept in person in the following way and to the following extent:

1. Presentation needs to contain:
 - concept of functional-operational and transport solution, transport schemes of pedestrian and cyclists movement, concept of static transport solution design and conceptual solution of dynamic transport
 - concept of urban design solution of selected sections no 1, no. 2 and no.3
 - the concept of proposal to ensure conditions for development and maintenance of biodiversity in water and on the banks, concept of landscaping around the watercourse
 - concept of design of functional-operational and architectural solution of banks, water level, surroundings of technical objects (water structures)
2. Presentation shall take a maximum of 30 minutes.
3. Digital extent of their presentation is upon the presenter.

The jury and experts shall elaborate a conceptual guideline for each participant to the presented concept, which then be sent to the participant in writing.

The jury and the experts may add or modify the assignment, which shall be announced to all competitors in the same manner and at the same time.

3. Third workshop - Final proposal submission and presentation

Each participant shall submit final proposals in accordance with the Content Requirements for the final solution of the proposal as defined in Annex No. 01 "Competition assignment".

Compliance with the Recommended Instructions set out in Annex 01 to competitors in their proposal is not mandatory. If there is a significant deviation in the participant's proposal from the instructions given in Annex No. 01, the inviting party recommends the participant to submit graphic or textual argumentation justifying such a procedure.

The presentation of final proposals shall be individual, without the presence of other participants.

1. Final proposal layout

The proposal shall be prepared within the scope of a conceptual study on four to five panels measuring 100 cm x 70 cm with a portrait orientation. Each panel shall contain competitor's identification data and information on who the author of the conceptual study is. The layout of final proposals' panels is in Annex No. 06.

Required content of individual panels:

Panel No. 1:

1. Wider relationships, schemes and analyses
 - concept of functional-operational and transport solution
 - traffic patterns of pedestrians and cyclists
 - static transport solution design concept
 - conceptual solution of dynamic transport

2. Situation of the whole solved area in the scale of 1: 2000
 - comprehensive urban and transport design
 - functional and operational division of the territory
 - proposed features
 - location of new buildings with regulation of their height and their functional use
 - location of the promenade
 - tracing the movement of pedestrians, cyclists
 - solution of dynamic and static transport
 - greenery concept

Panel No. 2:

1. Situation of the three section views according to Annex 2a at a scale of 1:750 or 1:1000:
 - A. Section view No. 1: From the inlet building to the weir on Čárskeho Street
 - B. Section view No. 2: From the bridge on Slovenskej jednoty Street to the inverted siphon, including.
 - C. Section view No. 3: From Hotel Yasmin to Masarykova Street
2. General axonometry of the section views, description of solution, diagrams.

Panels No. 3 and 4 (if needed, additional panel No.5 can be used)

1. Proposal for ensuring conditions for development of nature and biodiversity in water and on the banks, the concept of landscaping around the watercourse, design of functional and operational solutions of banks, promenades, water level, surroundings of technical objects (water structures) in the scale of 1:1000
2. All characteristic section views at a scale of 1:200 and in more detail based on floor plans, diagrams, axonometry, visualizations, architectural and landscape details:
 - landscaping - the concept of greenery and banks without access to people
 - weirs - landscape design, shore part of the weir
 - making the water level available to humans, making the water level available for maintenance
 - connection to bridges
 - shore with promenade or cycle path
3. design of furniture, concept design of the manual of the Mill Race public space and its surroundings based on floor plans, section views, diagrams, axonometry, visualizations, reference pictures

2. Final proposal submission

Competitors are required to submit their final proposal within the scope of point 1 of this chapter in the manner defined in Chapter IV.

The inviting party shall print the graphic part at their own expense and laminate it on solid panels. All materials will be in Slovak or Czech language.

3. Final proposal presentation

Proposals shall be presented in person with participation of the jury, experts and the presenting candidate for a maximum of 30 minutes.

4. Evaluation

Evaluation of proposals by the jury shall follow these criteria:

Criterion
<p>Urbanism</p> <p>Public spaces, relations, connections, mobility, problem nodes, residents needs, cultural and social aspects.</p>
<p>Architecture</p> <p>Objects, elements, technical elements, furniture, details.</p>
<p>Landscaping and blue-green infrastructure</p> <p>Composition, design, facilities within the area, biological diversity of green areas, functions of green areas, sustainability of green-blue infrastructure, solution of banks and coastal zone, solution of biota in the context of water flow.</p>
<p>Environmental aspect</p> <p>Evaluation of extraordinary processing of environmental topics and their incorporation into the design. Emphasis on solutions respecting <u>the requirements of adaptation to climate change</u> and mitigating its consequences, applying the principles of sustainability and circularity, creating conditions aimed at <u>supporting flora and fauna biodiversity</u>, respecting the requirement for migration of organisms, ensuring spontaneous resilience of habitats to urban stress. Combining the requirements for the design of a user-friendly, safe and hygienically problem-free environment for people with necessary requirements for an environmentally stable environment. Particular emphasis is placed on solutions that <u>support improvement of water quality</u> and respect for watercourses as a "living river". Support of solutions with creation of zones of varying depth in the riverbed, biologically active shallow zones and coastal vegetation at the interface of habitats. Induction of diverse dynamics of water flow in individual parts of the riverbed, layering of the water column, application of hydro macrophytes in the binding of soluble nutrients and support of self-cleaning processes in the aquatic environment. Designing greenery to create a diverse range of habitats in terms of</p>

sunlight, temperature, humidity and other parameters. Comprehensive management of environmental issues, the degree of creative incorporation of biological knowledge into the proposed solution.

Rational operation and maintenance

Energy-intensive and organizationally less demanding operation and maintenance solutions are preferred. Utilizing the potential of a place without the need for frequent and economically demanding work procedures. The degree of resistance and durability of mobile and permanent facilities of the premises is evaluated, as well as the resistance of the implemented modifications to devastation and wear.

Arrangement of space in accordance with requirements for rational maintenance without special demands on manual work. This applies to technically designed areas as well as maintenance of the greenery. A clear division of areas with a high load and a more intensive degree of maintenance up to areas with an almost natural regime and only occasional or seasonal interventions shall be evaluated positively.

Respecting the requirement for a stable flow and water level. The aim is to enable the highest possible volume flow in the riverbed without piping.

Feasibility

Adequate technical solution corresponding to the investor's ideas in terms of expected reasonable costs, a mature idea of the course of implementation in rationally set stages.

The jury shall assess fulfillment of formal and substantive requirements of each proposal and, if these have been met, the author of the proposal shall be paid a remuneration in accordance with Chapter X. while the said proposal shall be forwarded to the third stage.

The jury will determine the order of proposals that have progressed to the third stage by voting on the basis of the above criteria.

X. Proposals' remuneration

For the elaboration of proposals that have met formal and content requirements, the inviting party shall pay a remuneration of € 4,000 excluding VAT to the participants in the second stage.

XI. Third stage - concluding a contract

Only those participants in the second stage whose proposals the jury has decided have fulfilled the formal and substantive conditions, shall become participants in the third stage.

The procedure in the third stage is as follows:

1. After the competition, the inviting party shall meet and discuss with the participant whose proposal was evaluated as the winning one by the jury.
2. The result of negotiations shall be the conclusion of a Contract for Work pursuant to Act No. 513/1991 of the Collection of the Commercial Code, as amended.
3. Pursuant to § 117 paragraph 5 of the Public Procurement Act, the inviting party may not enter into a contract with a tenderer who does not meet the conditions of participation pursuant to § 32 paragraph 1 letters e) and f) of the Public Procurement Act or if there is a reason for exclusion pursuant to § 40 paragraph 6 letter f) of the Public Procurement Act.
4. In the event that the participant ranked first in the order determined after the second stage refuses to conclude the Contract for Work, or the contract is not concluded between this participant and the inviting party within 30 days of the invitation, the inviting party is entitled to invite the participant ranked second after the second stage.
5. In the event that the participant ranked second refuses to conclude the Contract for Work, or the contract is not concluded between this participant and the inviting party within 30 days of the invitation, the inviting party is entitled to invite the participant ranked third.
6. If the Contract for Work is not concluded even on the basis of this negotiation, the inviting party may resume negotiations with the participant ranked first in the order determined after the second stage.
7. The inviting party reserves the right to cancel the public procurement (PP) without concluding the contract. The inviting party electronically informs all participants whose proposals were evaluated in the second stage in the manner defined in Chapter IV on cancellation of the PP.

XII. Follow-up contract

1. Subject of a follow-up contract

The subject consists of:

1. A validation study taking into account wider relationships and public spaces
2. Detailed manual of public space and landscape study with a solution of selected elements of small architecture. Due to a valid zoning decision and a building permit for the *Revitalization of the Mill Race* project, the price includes the elaboration of a landscape study, project documentation of the construction change before completion in the scope of project documentation for contractor selection and project documentation of selected elements of small architecture implementation. The price includes an option for the future processing of the implementation project documentation of those elements and parts of the design that will not be possible to implement under current financial conditions of the city. The engineering activity shall be provided by the city of Košice. The price also includes author's supervision over the implementation of architectural and landscape objects and modifications.

The following acts refer only to architectural-landscape objects and modifications:

- a. Elaboration of documentation for issuing a change of construction before completion in accordance with Decree No. 453/2000 of the Collection of Laws;
 - b. Elaboration of documentation for the implementation of the construction of selected elements and objects in accordance with the scope of work according to the UNIKA tariff;
3. The contract also includes the provision of an exclusive license for the part of the design dealing with modifications to water structures, transport structures and network relocations, so that the study can serve as a basis for the designer to process subsequent stages of project documentation for this part.
 4. Execution of professional author's supervision in accordance with the scope of work according to UNIKA tariff for the part of proposal dealing with modifications of water structures, transport structures and relocations of networks.

2. Estimated investment costs

The amount of estimated total investment for the implementation of "Revitalization of the Mill Race in Košice" is EUR 1,900,000, while the price of the part of the implementation belonging to the follow-up contracts (XII.1.2.) is set at EUR 700,000.

XIII. Additional information

1. All costs related to proposal preparation and submission shall be borne by the participant without a right to financial compensation against the inviting party. An exception is the remuneration awarded to participants invited to submit proposals in the second stage.
2. Detailed information on the requirements for the proposal submitted in the second stage, and the invitation to negotiate in the third stage, shall be sent by the inviting party only to selected participants as specified in terms of competition.
3. The inviting party fully undertakes to respect the copyrights of the authors of proposals in accordance with Act No. 185/2015 of the Collection of Laws, Copyright law as amended.
4. By submitting a competition proposal, the participant grants the inviting party exclusive consent to the use of the work representing the competition proposal for the purposes of the competition, the presentation purposes of the competition in form of publication on the exhibition, on the website and possibly in a printed publication.
5. The costs of competition implementation are funded by the approved budget of the City of Košice.
6. After jury meetings, the public procurement administrator shall prepare a report on the evaluation process that shall be sent to all evaluated candidates.
7. The service contract is funded by the budget of the inviting party and approved for relevant calendar years for the duration of contractual relationship.
8. The inviting party is obliged to maintain the confidentiality of information marked so and provided to them by the participant; for this purpose, **the participant indicates which facts they consider to be confidential** out of the following ones: trade secrets, technical solutions, templates, instructions, drawings, project documentation, models, method of calculating unit prices. This does not affect the provisions of the Public Procurement Act requiring the inviting party to notify or send documents and other notices to the Public Procurement Office, to publish documents and also obligations to publish contracts pursuant to Act No. 211/2000 of the Collection of Laws on Free Access to Information, as amended.